STATE OF MONTANA BEFORE THE BOARD OF PERSONNEL APPEALS

IN THE MATTER OF UNIT CLARIFICATION NO. 6-85:

LOLO SCHOOL DISTRICT, NO. 7 LOLO, MONTANA,

Petitioner,

FINDINGS OF FACT: CONCLUSIONS OF LAW; RECOMMENDED ORDER

MONTANA EDUCATION ASSOCIATION,)

Respondent.

A hearing to determine whether the Business Assistant/ Payroll Supervisor is properly a member of the bargaining unit of classified employees in Lolo School District No. 7, Lolo, Montana was held on February 24, 1986 in Lolo, Montana, The hearing was held under the authority of Section 39-31-207 MCA and ARM 24.26.630(5) and in accordance with the Administrative Procedures Act, Title 2, Chapter 4, MCA. Petitioner was represented by Chadwick H. Smith of the Smith Law Firm, Helena, Montana. Respondent was represented by Emilie Loring of the law firm of Hilley & Loring, Great Falls, Montana. Linda Skaar was Hearing Examiner.

Having carefully reviewed the record, including sworn testimony and evidence, these are my findings of fact:

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Montana Education Association represents the unit of classified employees in Lolo School District No. 7. Employees included in this unit are the business assistant, executive secretary, district secretary, secretary K-5, aide, maintenance person, custodian, cooks I and II, the kitchen helper, nurse and all other classified staff except the school district clerk and supervisors. clarification hearing the parties agreed that henceforth the school lunch supervisor would not be included in the unit.

ı 2

3

5

6 7

and

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30 31

With the exception of the custodians and the business assistant, all the positions in the unit are 10 month positions.

- 2. The clerical functions of the school district were reorganized and in January 1985, Becky Ellis was hired to fill a newly created position called Business Assistant.

 Ms. Ellis was paid \$6.00 per hour and was scheduled to work 10 months a year. Duties of the position were:
 - 1. Count and balance all lunch receipts.
 - Make all Activity Account deposits.
 - 3. Telephone back-up.
 - Assist student council treasurer with proper depositing of their monies and record keeping (gives advice).
 - 5. Types purchase orders.
 - 6. Prepares supply room list and orders supplies.
 - 7. Record keeping of all categories of lunch receipts.
 - 8. Business office back-up.
- 3. In July, 1985, due to an increased work load in the business office, Ms. Ellis was called back to work. Her position was made a 12 month position and she was given increased duties and responsibilities. Her position title was changed to Business Assistant/Payroll Supervisor. Major functions of her position were now:
 - To maintain accurate records of all local Food Service receipts.
 - 2. To make all deposits in connection with the Lolo School Activity Account.
 - 3. To organize and maintain records of all requisitions for supplies and subsequent purchase orders in accordance with policies of the Lolo School District Board of Trustees [emphasis added]. (See Finding of Fact #7)
 - 4. To maintain educational and office supplies inventory control.
 - 5. To be familiar with all Business Office procedures so as to be an effective Business Office back-up person in the absence of the Business Manager.
 - 6. To receive monthly time sheets for district and record employees overtime, vacation, personal, and sick leave and other variations from regular work schedules [emphasis added].
 - 7. To post leaves to employment permanent records.
 - To post salary variations.

31

1

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

 To assist in preparing data for various tabular reports as required for federal and state agencies.

10. To answer inquiries from employees regarding

payroll and benefits matters.

11. To serve as an administrative resource person relative to salaries and collective bargaining data.

The school district had recently purchased a computer.

Ms. Ellis was taught to use the computer by the company which sold it to the district. She has become the most expert computer operator in the district. She keeps all the payroll records on the computer.

4. The Trustees of each school district in Montana are required to appoint a school clerk (Section 20-3-325 MCA). The Clerk in Lolo School District No. 7, is Marcia Harrison who is also the Business Manager of the District. Ms. Harrison is supervised by Richard Heath, Superintendent of Schools and she, in turn, supervises Business Assistant Becky Ellis who acts as her assistant. Ms. Ellis supervises no one.

School District Clerks are exempt from coverage of the Collective Bargaining Act for Public Employees by Section 39-31-103(2)(b)(vii) MCA.

- 5. When Ms. Ellis's position changed in July, 1985, she negotiated a salary increase directly with management. She is now paid \$7.02 per hour (\$14,600 a year) and receives time and one-half for overtime. Ms. Ellis's salary is neither the highest or lowest in the bargaining unit.
 - 6. The Lolo School District is a small district and

[&]quot;The Lolo School District No. 7 Board of Trustees adheres to the 'unit control' concept of management, which places direct administrative responsibilities upon the superindentdent with the business official and other personnel reporting directly to the superintendent." (Petitioner's Ex. #3)

there is only one person with purchasing or payroll duties.

Ms. Ellis has little interchange with other members of the unit.

Ms. Ellis receives the same benefits as other classified employees in the school district. These benefits include retirement, medical insurance, sick leave, vacation, etc. She works an 8 hour day and a 40 hour week as do the other employees. The custodians and Ms. Ellis work 12 months a year. Other employees in the bargaining unit work 10 months a year.

Ms. Ellis does not wish to be a member of the Montana Education Association.

- 7. On October 3, 1985, the Board of Trustees adopted a new policy on purchasing procedures. Pertinent provisions of these new procedures are as follows:
 - Only the signatures of the District Superintendent, Principal, or Supervisor, and Business Manager will commit the district to a purchase.
 - Satisfactory quality of the item to be purchased will be determined by the requisitioner, the Principal or the Supervisor.
 - 3. A source of supply is to be included on requisitions.
 - 4. It is the responsibility of the Principal or the Supervisor to make alternate suggestions to the requisitioner if they think the specifications would restrict competition or preclude the most economical purchase.
 - 5. When a low bidder proposes an alternate as "an equal" to that specified, it is the responsibility of the Principal or Supervisor to determine whether it is equal. This evaluation is to include that of a requisitioner.
 - 6. Each requisitioner is responsible to see that the amount of the requisition is within the appropriated amount for his unit, it must be verified for the adequacy of the budgetary information and must be signed by the Principal or Supervisor and the District Superintendent.
 - 7. Purchase orders must be signed by the District Superintendent, Principal, or Supervisor and the Business Manager.

Nowhere do these procedures mention the Business

Assistant.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

The provisions of Section 20-9-204 MCA require that School District Trustees advertise for bids on purchases exceeding \$7,500.00. The contract must be awarded to the lowest bidder.

DISCUSSION

Petitioner advances two main arguments to support the contention that the position of Business Assistant should be removed from the unit. The first of these arguments follows: The school trustees are required by Section 20-3-325 MCA to appoint a clerk of the district. Since school clerks are not considered public employees under Section 39-31-103 (2) (b) (vii) of the Collective Bargaining for Public Employees Act, then a person who acts as assistant clerk is likewise not considered a public employee. Analyzing this argument, we find that Section 20-3-325 MCA requires the Trustees to appoint "a" clerk not multiple clerks. 39-31-103(2)(b)(vii) MCA also speaks of "a" school district There is nothing to lead us to believe that these two sections of law do not speak to the same position - a single district clerk in each school district. If Section 39-31-103(b)(vii) of the Collective Bargaining for Public Employees Act contemplated excluding multiple clerks in each school district, we would have a situation where all "clerks" or clerical employees in any school district could be excluded from the protection of the Act. Such a reading of the Public Employees Collective Bargaining Act is not Surely, if the Legislature had intended such a massive exclusion it would have enumerated those positions to be excluded as it did with school administrators, professional nurses, engineers etc. We must conclude that both sections of the statute speak to one position in each school

district—the single clerk position created by Section 20-3-325 MCA. The position of Business Assistant cannot be exempt from the unit under this theory.

Petitioner's second argument is that the payroll and purchasing duties performed by the Business Assistant are such as to make her a management employee. Management officials, or those who are representatives of management having authority to act for the agency on any matters relating to the implementation of agency policy are, like school district clerks, excluded from the protections of the Act, Section 39-31-103 MCA.

The Board of Personnel Appeals has consistently construed this definition very narrowly, augmenting it with the definition of management employee adopted by the National Labor Relations Board. 2 The NLRB defines managerial employees as those who formulate and effectuate management policies by expressing and making operative the decisions of their employer. In 1974, the U. S. Supreme Court noted approvingly that the lower courts had approved the NLRB's definition "without exception". In General Dynamics Corp., Convair Aerospace Division, 4 the Board explained the application of this definition, "managerial status is not conferred upon rank-and-file workers, or upon those who perform routinely, but rather is reserved for those in executive type positions, those who are closely aligned with management as true representatives of management. They further noted that professional employees are not the same as

l

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

AFSCME and the City of Kalispell, UC 3-83

³NLRB v. Textron, Inc., 416 US 267(1974).

⁴213 NLRB 124, 87 LRRM 1705 (1974)

management and "technical expertise in administrative functions which may involve the exercise of judgment and discretion does not confer executive type status upon the performer."

In this unit clarification which involves the Business Assistant who acts as purchasing agent for the school district, the Textron case, supra, is of particular signifi-It, too, involved buyers. The U. S. Supreme Court remanded that case for the NLRB to determine whether the Textron buyers were managerial employees. Concluding that the buyers were not managerial employees because they did not exercise sufficient independent discretion in their jobs to truly align themselves with management, the NLRB noted that while the buyers were in a position to commit the employer's credit up to \$5,000, the discretion and latitude for independent action took place within the confines of the general directions the employer had established. The Board noted that a standard order might merely require the buyer to turn a requisition into a purchase order where the buyer would merely affix his signature since "the department which originated the requisition has already designated the vendor, price, quantity, etc." Many of the items purchased were repetitive or off-the-shelf. In Lockheed Aircraft Corp., the Board also excluded buyers on the grounds that their activities were circumscribed either by the employer's established policy or by review power placed in higher In <u>Simplex Industries</u>, 6 the NLRB found the buyer was a managerial employees because he had broad discretion

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

⁵217 NLRB No. 93, 89 LRRM 1289 (1975)

⁶²⁴³ NLRB No. 13, 101 LRRM 1466 (1979)

in handling managerial matters and was not restricted by fixed policies established by the employer. He performed his job without the assistance of employer imposed procurement policies, there was no employer imposed list of vendors and the buyer used sales statistics in determining how much material would be required and when it would be required.

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

In this case, the purchasing activities of the Business Assistant are circumscribed by the purchasing policy and procedures adopted by the school board. Further. purchasing activities are circumscribed by the review power of higher authority. Any purchase must be approved by the Superintendent at the requisition stage before it is turned into a purchase order by Ms. Ellis. Even though the prior approval of the Superintendent is required, Ms. Ellis still has no authority to sign a purchase order. A purchase order must be signed by the Business Manager (school district clerk) and the Superintendent. The requisitioner is responsible for determining whether the amount of the requisition is within the appropriated amount for his unit. The requisitioner, principal or superintendent are responsible for determining quality. The requisitioner must include a source of supply on his requisition. While Ms. Ellis may very well use her technical expertise in purchasing to assist the requisitioner and others, the ultimate responsibility for complying with purchasing policy is theirs, not hers. All purchasing activity within the school district is circumscribed by School Board policy and the review power placed in higher authority.

The technical expertise displayed by Ms. Ellis in handling the payroll is just that—technical expertise. While technical expertise may involve the exercise of judgment and discretion, it does not confer executive type

status upon the performer. General Dynamics Corp., supra.

The position of Business Assistant, while a responsible technical position, does not meet the test adopted for determining managerial status. Having failed this test, there appears to be no other reason for exempting the position from the unit. Ms. Ellis is not a supervisor. While the position has little interchange with other employees in the unit it can be assumed that in a unit as diverse as this there will be less exchange among employees than there would be in a unit where the work force all perform similar functions. Ms. Ellis has a different immediate supervisor than the other positions but so do the cooks. addition all positions within the school district are ultimately answerable to the Superintendent and the Board of Trustees. Ms. Ellis's wages fall within the range of wages paid to other employees in the unit and she enjoys similar benefits. While it is true that Ms. Ellis does not wish to be a member of the Montana Education Association, inclusion of her position in the unit will not require her to be a member of the Association. The position of Business Assistant is properly within the bargaining unit.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The position classified as Business Assistant/Payroll Supervisor is not managerial as that term is defined by Section 39-31-03 MCA.

RECOMMENDED ORDER

The position classified as Business sistant/Payroll Supervisor is properly included in the bargaining unit comprised of classified employees of Lolo School District 7 represented by respondent, Montana Education Association.

32

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

DATED this 2 dll day of August, 1986

5

i 7

BPA1:003vt

BOARD OF PERSONNEL APPEALS

Linda Skaar Hearing Examiner

NOTICE

Written exceptions to these Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order may be filed within twenty days. If no exceptions are filed with the Board Of Personnel Appeals within that time, the Recommended Order shall become the Final Order of the Board. Exceptions shall be addressed to the Board of Personnel Appeals, P. O. Box 1728, Helena, Montana 59624.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

do certify that a true and correct copy of this document was mailed to the following on the 2 way of September, 1986.

Emilie Loring
Hilley & Loring
121 Fourth St. N.
Suite 2G
Great Falls, MT 59401

Chadwick H. Smith Smith Law Firm P. O. Box 604 Helena, MT 59624